

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/648,053 | 08/26/2003 | Jeffrey R. Welling | 8266-1037 2948 | |
| 7590 04/21/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| Intellectual Property Group | | | TRETTEL, MICHAEL | |
| Bose McKinney & Evans LLP 2700 First Indiana Plaza | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| 135 North Pennsylvania Street | | | 3673 | |
| Indianapolis, IN 46204 | | | DATE MAILED: 04/21/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---------------------|--|--|--|
| | | 10/648,053 | WELLING ET AL. | 4 | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Michael Trettel | 3673 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence ad | dress | | | |
| A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failus Any r | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed vs will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | y. ommunication. | | | |
| Status | | • | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 No. | ovember 2003. | | | | | |
| 2a)[| This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ | Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6,9,14-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>7,8,10-13 and 21-26</u> is/are objected to Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | wn from consideration. | | | | | |
| • • | • | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | | |
| Priority u | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information | ct(s) the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) the No(s)/Mail Date 11/17/2003. | 4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other: | oate | O-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/648,053

Art Unit: 3673

8 . 5 3

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/648,053

Art Unit: 3673

Claims 1 to 6, 9 and 14 to 20 are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Grantham (4,787,104). Grantham shows an invalid bed assembly 10 that comprises a stationary frame 16 that supports an articulated back section 22 and a lower seat section 24. The seat section 24 includes a carriage 30 that supports a body supporting surface defined by slats 32, with the back section 22 being pivotally attached at one end to the carriage 30. The carriage 30 can be slid relative to the stationary frame towards and away from the foot end of the frame by a set of sprocket chains as shown in Figures 6 and 7. The slats 32 are supported upon a pair of sprocket chains 90 which are guided by a roller 34 at the foot end of the bed, such that the slats can wrap around the foot end of the bed as the carriage 30 is moved towards the same. Therefore, the seat section decreases in length as it is moved towards the foot end of the bed. The back section 22 can be articulated upwardly by a drive mechanism shown in Figure 9, simultaneously with the movement of the seat section towards the foot end of the bed. A controller console 220 detailed in column 9 lines 6 to 37 can be used to operate the bed, note that the console allows for separate operation of the carriage movement and the inclination of the back. Note also the use of limit switches as set forth in column 9 lines 38 to 59.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7, 8, 10-13, and 21 to 26 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/648,053

Art Unit: 3673

Page 4

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Morrison shows an adjustable ed with a support surface 7 that shortens in length as

the head end is inclined. Elliott, Jansen, Nelson, Feldt and DiMatteo et al shows adjustable beds

that include length adjustable or sliding foot sections that are of interest. Foster et al and Allen et

al show related patents that have subject matter in common with the present application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael Trettel whose telephone number is 703-308-0416. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, or Friday from 7.30 am to

5.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Heather Shackelford, can be reached on (703) 308-2978. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Michael Trettel

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3673